# **Dielectrics Newsletter**

Scientific newsletter for dielectric spectroscopy

Issue april 2003

#### Henrik Eliasson

### Higher-Order Mode-Coupling Theory Description of Dielectric Relaxation in Polymers introduction

The relaxation patterns observed in dielectric spectra measured on polymers are often quite complicated. Distinctive features, such as loss-free regions (1/f noise). regions linear in frequency as well as horizontal inflection points and double minima are often encountered. Usually, relaxation data are modelled using empirical relations, such as the time-honoured Havriliak-Negami or Kohlrausch-Williams-Watts (KWW) expressions. While these formulae do indeed fit the data well many times, they do usually not apply in the above cases. We will in this article present a method for curve fitting of dielectric data on polymers using the mode-coupling theory (MCT) of the liquid-glass transition [1]. This theory has been quite successful in describing the relaxation behaviour of simple fluids. The application of the theory to, e.g., polymers has in the past been a more controversial subject. One important result of the theory, called the factorization property, as does however have а consequence that close to certain glass transition singularities, the time (or frequency) dependence is separated from the microscopic properties of the material studied. Therefore, a relaxation scenario is predicted that only depends on certain separation parameters. These parameters are in turn dependent upon external physical parameters such as, e.g., temperature and pressure. The MCT therefore provides a universal relaxation scenario that is independent of the microscopic details of the system studied and this justifies the use of the MCT results for complicated

systems such as semicrystalline polymers. In order to gain further understanding of the elusive glass transition phenomenon, the analysis presented in this article may be important since it provides a direct connection between experimental data and a theory that has been proven to provide general predictions that in many cases have been verified by experiments.

#### basics of the modecoupling theory

The mode-coupling approach is based on the concept of glass transition singularities. In the case of the liquid-glass transition in simple liquids, the  $A_2$  scenario, which is the simplest scenario, has been used most successfully. For temperatures above a certain critical temperature,  $T_c$ , the imaginary part of the dielectric spectrum  $\varepsilon(v) = \varepsilon'(v) - i\varepsilon''(v)$  is here modelled around a minimum centred at  $(v_{\min}, \varepsilon''_{\min})$ , made up of two power laws with exponents *a* and *b*, according to

$$\varepsilon'(v) = f_{\varepsilon} + \frac{\varepsilon_{\min}^{n}}{a+b}$$

$$a \cot\left(\frac{b\pi}{2}\right) \left(\frac{v}{v_{\min}}\right)^{-b} - b \cot\left(\frac{a\pi}{2}\right) \left(\frac{v}{v_{\min}}\right)^{a}$$
(1a)
$$\varepsilon''(v) = \frac{\varepsilon_{\min}^{m}}{a+b} \left[b\left(\frac{v}{v_{\min}}\right)^{a} + a\left(\frac{v}{v_{\min}}\right)^{-b}\right]$$
(1b).

These exponents are related to each other according to the formula

$$\frac{\Gamma^2(1-a)}{\Gamma(1-2a)} = \frac{\Gamma^2(1+b)}{\Gamma(1+2b)} = \lambda , \quad (2)$$

where  $\Gamma(x)$  is the gamma function and the material-dependent parameter  $\lambda$  is known as the exponent parameter. The critical temperature  $T_c$  is the physical manifestation of an entity known as the  $A_2$  glass transition singularity. Above this temperature, the theoretical material is a liquid and below  $T_c$  it has transformed into an ideal glass. In the case of polymers, the above expressions do more often than not provide an inadequate description of the dielectric data. This can, as has been hinted at above, be remedied by taking into account higher-order singularities. For these higher-order scenarios  $A_{k_0}$ , where  $k \ge 3$ , the situation is quite different from the simple power laws described above and the minimum is instead modelled by elliptic functions. We then have for the dielectric function:

$$\varepsilon'(v) = f_{\varepsilon} - \varepsilon_{\varepsilon} f\left[\ln\left(\frac{1}{2\pi v t_{1}}\right); g_{2}, g_{3}, ..., g_{k}\right]$$
(3a)
$$\varepsilon''(v) = -\frac{\pi}{2} \varepsilon_{\varepsilon} f'\left[\ln\left(\frac{1}{2\pi v t_{1}}\right); g_{2}, g_{3}, ..., g_{k}\right]$$
(3b)

where  $f_{\varepsilon}$  and  $\varepsilon_c$  are fitting parameters, f' = df/dy,  $y = \ln(-2\pi v_1)$  and  $t_1$  is a characteristic microscopic timescale. The function  $f(y;g_2,g_3,...,g_k)$  is determined through

$$\left(\frac{df}{dy}\right)^{2} = \frac{4}{(k-2)^{2}} f^{k}(y)$$
(4)  
$$-g_{2} f^{k-2}(y) - \dots - g_{k-1} f(y) - g_{k}$$

This equation cannot be solved analytically. For a sufficiently accurate description of the dielectric function for polymers, the  $A_4$  (k = 4) scenario has been demonstrated to be quite successful [4], and we will therefore focus our attention on this scenario in the present article. We then have

$$\left(\frac{df}{dy}\right)^2 = f^4(y) - g_2 f^2(y) - g_3 f(y) - g_4$$
(5)

The function f is strongly divergent both in its lower as well as in its higher limit. Automatic curve fitting is therefore very difficult. However, because of eqs. (3) and (5), there is a simple relationship between the real and imaginary parts, according to





Figure 1. Dielectric spectra for PET. a) Complex plane fit, b) real part of dielectric function, c) imaginary part of dielectric function. Circles: 9% crystallinity at 90°C, diamonds: 26% crystallinity at 110°C, squares: 31% crystallinity at 115°C.

$$\varepsilon''^{2} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} a_{i} \varepsilon'^{i} \quad (6)$$

4

Thus, by performing the analysis in the complex  $\varepsilon' - \varepsilon''$  plane, it is possible to use simple polynomials for the fits. It is the purpose of this article to introduce this technique. For the  $A_4$  scenario, the polynomial is of fourth order. After performing a fit using eq. (6), the parameters of eqs. (3) are extracted from the polynomial coefficients according to

$$\varepsilon_{c} = \frac{\pi}{2a_{4}^{1/2}}$$
 (7a)  
 $f_{\varepsilon} = -\frac{a_{3}}{4a_{4}}$  (7b)  
 $g_{2} = \frac{3a_{3}^{2} - 8a_{2}a_{4}}{2a_{4}\pi^{2}}$  (7c)

$$g_{3} = \frac{8a_{1}a_{4}^{2} - a_{3}^{3} - 4a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}}{a_{4}^{3/2}\pi^{3}} \quad (7d)$$
$$g_{4} = \frac{64a_{1}a_{3}a_{4}^{2} - 16a_{2}a_{3}^{2}a_{4} - 256a_{1}a_{4} + 3a_{3}^{4}}{16a_{4}^{2}\pi^{4}}$$
$$(7e)$$

In this way, all parameters except the timescale  $t_1$  can be determined. Once the parameters are known, the scaling properties of the higherorder MCT may be tested. According to the theory, it should, for certain parameter values, be possible to rescale the dielectric spectra so that they fall on a common master curve. In the complex plane, the rescaling is performed by plotting  $\varepsilon''/c''_4$  versus  $(f_{\varepsilon} - \varepsilon')/c'_4$  and varying the scaling parameters  $c''_4$  and  $c'_4$  so that the different curves fall on the same

master curve, according to eq. (9) below. More specifically, the following relations must be satisfied in order for a set of spectra to fall on a master curve:

$$p = \left| \frac{g_2^2}{12g_4} \right| = \text{constant} \quad (8a)$$
$$q = \left| \frac{27g_3^4}{4096g_4^3} \right| = \text{constant} \quad (8b)$$

The expression for the master functions in the  $\varepsilon' - \varepsilon''$  plane is then as follows:

$$\frac{\varepsilon''}{c_4''} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{f_\varepsilon - \varepsilon'}{c_4'}\right)^4 \pm 6p^{1/2} \left(\frac{f_\varepsilon - \varepsilon'}{c_4'}\right)^2} \\ \pm 8q^{1/4} \frac{f_\varepsilon - \varepsilon'}{c_4'} \pm 3$$
(9)

The scaling parameters extracted from such an analysis should then exhibit the following temperature dependences:

$$\frac{c_4'}{\varepsilon_c} \propto \left| \frac{T_0 - T}{T_0} \right|^{1/4} \quad (10a)$$
$$\frac{c_4''}{\varepsilon_c} \propto \left| \frac{T_0 - T}{T_0} \right|^{1/2} \quad (10b)$$

#### examples

In the figures below, some examples are shown in which the analysis introduced above has been used. Since the expressions introduced above are approximate, it is important to realize that they are only valid in the frequency range around the minimum in  $\varepsilon''$ . One therefore expects to find increasingly larger deviations between theoretical and experimental curves as one moves away from the minimum.

The theoretical curves were produced by fitting eq. (6) with k =4 to the data. From those fits, the relevant parameters were extracted according to eqs. (7). These parameters were used to calculate the elliptic functions, eqs. (3), which were then used in the frequency-domain plots. Figure 1 from shows data dielectric measurements on PET with a varying degree of crystallinity [2]. The dielectric measurements were performed using a Schlumberger Solartron 1260 Impedance Gain/Phase Analyzer together with a Chelsea Dielectric Interface and a Hewlett-Packard HP4284A Precision LCR Meter. The

temperature was controlled with a Novocontrol Quatro cryosystem. As can be clearly seen, there is excellent agreement between theoretical and experimental curves.



Figure 2. Dielectric spectra for PCTFE. a) Complex plane fit, b) real part of dielectric function, c) imaginary part of dielectric function.



Figure 3. Scaling plots for the same PCTFE data as presented in Figure 2. The variable u is a rescaled frequency, see [4] for details. The





variables a and b are defined as:  $a = (f_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon')/c'_4$  and  $b = \varepsilon''/c''_4$ .

Figure 2 presents dielectric data for PCTFE. These data were taken from the literature [3]. There is also in this case very good agreement between theory and experiment [4].

One difficulty with performing a complete MCT  $A_4$  analysis of dielectric spectra is due to the fact that it is not easy to find data that fall on scaling lines according to eq. (8). Nevertheless, the PCTFE data do follow such a scaling line and the result of the scaling analysis is presented in figures 3 and 4. In figure 3, a master curve is plotted and presented in the complex plane as well as in the frequency domain. Figure 3a shows the rescaled data together with the master function given by eq. (9). The scaling expressions in the frequency domain have been omitted in this article, but the results from such an analysis are nevertheless shown in figures 3b and c. The details regarding the frequency domain scaling expressions can be found in [4]. As expected, the data found along the scaling line do indeed collapse on this master curve. Figure 4, finally, shows the temperature dependence of the scaling parameters.

While there is clearly agreement between theory and experiment, the temperature range is not wide enough to provide conclusive evidence as to whether the scaling laws are valid or not. As may be understood, a complete  $A_4$  analysis of dielectric data is cumbersome and difficult as well as timeconsuming. Nevertheless, we do hope that this brief presentation may inspire other workers to take on the challenge and try to confirm or disprove the theory by using the procedure put forward in this article.

#### References

[1] For reviews of the MCT, see, e.g., W. Götze, in Liquids, Freezing and Glass Transition, edited by J. P. Hansen, D. Levesque and J. Zinn-Justin (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1991); W. Götze and L. Sjögren, Rep. Prog. Phys. 55, 241 (1992); W. Götze and L. Sjögren, Transport Theory and Statistical Physics 24, 801 (1995). [2] H. Eliasson and B.-E. Mellander, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 11, 8807 (1999). [3] A. H. Scott, D. J. Scheiber, A. J. Curtis, J. I. Lauritzen and J. D. Hoffman, J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand. Sect. A 66, 269 (1962). [4] H. Eliasson, Phys. Rev. E 64, 011802 (2001).

Henrik Eliasson Optronic Consulting Jönköping AB, Svangatan 2B, SE-416 68 Göteborg, Sweden henrik.eliasson2@telia.com R. R. Nigmatullin and S.I. Osokin

# New Presentation of the Dielectric Data introduction

There are several problems that complicate the analysis of dielectric spectra.

One of them is that the restriction to the low frequency limit of the experimental frequency window invariably leads to the situation when one can observe only part of a low frequency response and can not determine whether it is a "tail" of an inaccessible relaxation process (with the peak located at frequencies below the experimental frequency window) or a true low frequency dispersion (LFD) [1].

Another problem is ambiguity in determination of the 'true' number of processes within a complex dielectric spectrum, especially if there are several processes that are very close to each other and are differentiated in amplitude by a factor of 10, or even 100.

The situation becomes more complicated when large а contribution from a low frequency dispersion is observed. In this case it is impossible using conventional presentations (normal presentation, where the real or imaginary parts of complex permittivity are plotted vs. the logarithm of frequency and the Cole-Cole presentation, where the imaginary part of the complex permittivity is plotted with respect to its real part) to reveal even the number of the processes involved.

To overcome these difficulties we





 $\alpha$ =1,  $\tau_{LFD}$ =0.0001,  $\varepsilon_{\infty}$ =7, squares represent the LFD with  $\alpha$ =0.9,  $\tau_{LFD}$ =0.0001,  $\varepsilon_{\infty}$ =7.

suggest a new format. This data presentation defined as the *ratio presentation* (RP) format is turned out to be very effective in solving the problems mentioned above.

ReE/Im E

#### ratio presentation format

In practice, it is necessary to differentiate between an LFD process and a relaxation process of the Cole–Cole type, and to find a criterion that helps to detect a mixture of these (and other) processes from their separate contributions. One can solve this problem with the use of RP format. It is defined as:

$$-\left(\frac{\operatorname{Re}[\varepsilon(j\omega)]}{\operatorname{Im}[\varepsilon(j\omega)]}\right) = \frac{\operatorname{Re}[1/\varepsilon(j\omega)]}{\operatorname{Im}[1/\varepsilon(j\omega)]}$$
$$= \cot(\varphi) + \frac{\varepsilon_{\infty}|D(j\omega)|}{\Delta\varepsilon\sin(\varphi)} \quad (1)$$
Here



$$\begin{split} \varepsilon(j\omega) &\equiv \operatorname{Re}[\varepsilon(j\omega)] - j \operatorname{Im}[\varepsilon(j\omega)] \\ \operatorname{Complex} & \text{function} \quad D(j\omega) \text{ is} \\ \text{determined} & \text{from the relationship} \\ \varepsilon(j\omega) &= \varepsilon_{\infty} + \frac{\Delta\varepsilon}{D(j\omega)} \\ & \text{and} \\ D(j\omega) &\equiv |D(j\omega)| \exp(j\varphi) \,. \end{split}$$

In RP format the LFD function appears as a straight sloping line when  $\alpha=1$  or as a broken straight line when  $\alpha<1$ , with formulae is expressed by equation:

$$-\left(\frac{\operatorname{Re}[\varepsilon(j\omega)]}{\operatorname{Im}[\varepsilon(j\omega)]}\right) = A + Bf^{\alpha} \quad (2)$$

Fig.1 shows the frequency dependence of function (2) for some concrete values of the fitting parameters (where ε., σ  $\sigma {=} 1 / (\tau_{LFD})^{\alpha})$ and The α relationship between the parameters  $A(\varepsilon_{\infty}, \sigma, \alpha)$  and  $B(\varepsilon_{\infty}, \sigma, \alpha)$ , and the conventional parameters  $\varepsilon_{\infty}$ ,  $\sigma$  and  $\alpha$  are given in Table 1. The use of expression (2) for recognition of the existence of an LFD process becomes very simple. Moreover, the application of expression (2)effectively involves the simultaneous fitting of both the real and imaginary parts that makes the fitting function more reliable.

In the case of Cole-Cole function RP format has the form

$$-\left(\frac{\operatorname{Re}[\varepsilon(j\omega)]}{\operatorname{Im}[\varepsilon(j\omega)]}\right) = A + Bf^{-\nu} + Cf^{\nu}$$
(3)

Fig.2 shows the presentation (3) of the Cole-Cole function, for certain values of the fitting parameters in double-log scale. The relationship of the fitting parameters *A*, *B*, *C* to the initial parameters of the Cole-Cole

function (i.e.  $\epsilon_{\infty}$ ,  $\Delta\epsilon$ ,  $\tau=1/\omega_p$ ,  $\nu$ ) are given in Table 1. The shape of function this Cole-Cole in presentation looks like profile resembling the letter "V". We wish to stress here, that the function appears in the V-shaped form, even in cases, when the normal presentation exhibits only the tail of this process. It can be explained by the fact that the frequency minimum of this function is found from the relationship

$$\mathbf{f}_{v} = \left(\frac{\mathbf{B}}{\mathbf{C}}\right)^{1/2v} = \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{s}}}{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\infty}}\right)^{1/2v} \frac{1}{2\pi\tau} \quad (4)$$

and always is shifted to the high-frequency region because of the ratio  $\epsilon_s / \epsilon_\infty >> 1$ .

Open circles in Fig. 3 represent the linear combination of an LFD and Cole-Cole function. On the same figure one can see the LFD and Cole-Cole functions separately. The visual presentation of this case in RP format allows one to notice a peak created by the combination of these two processes that provides evidence of the coexistence of two processes.

Fig. 4 shows RP format for the series combination of two recap elements with one resistance in parallel. The definition of recap or constant phase angle elements is given in [2]. This picture demonstrates asymmetric behavior (which is characterized by the downward displacement of the high-frequency branch), obtained for a model spectrum. Here the asymmetry always appears on the right side of V-shaped function. Dashed line shows the position of



the high frequency branch in the case of the negligible influence of the second process (provided by the second recap element). Arrow shows the movement of the highfrequency branch due to influence of the second process. Hence we have an 'dropping' asymmetry due to the falling down of the highfrequency branch. This asymmetry therefore differentiates between a model defined by two recap elements combined in series and a model defined by a single Cole-Cole function. which is characterized by mirror-like symmetry with respect to the minimum frequency.

Fig. 5 shows RP format for the parallel combination of two recaps

LFD	$A = \cot\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)$			
$\varepsilon(j\omega) \equiv \varepsilon_{\infty} + \frac{1}{(j\omega\tau)^{\alpha}}$	$B = \frac{\varepsilon_{\infty}(2\pi\tau)^{\alpha}}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)}$			
Cole-Cole	$A = \left(\frac{\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_{\infty}}{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_{\infty}}\right) \frac{1}{\tan\left(\frac{\pi\nu}{2}\right)}$			
$\varepsilon(j\omega) = \varepsilon_{\infty} + \frac{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_{\infty}}{1 + (j\omega\tau)^{v}}$	$B = \frac{\varepsilon_s}{(\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_\infty)(2\pi\tau)^{\rm v}\sin\left(\frac{\pi v}{2}\right)}$			
	$C = \frac{\varepsilon_{\infty} (2\pi\tau)^{\vee}}{(\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_{\infty}) \sin\left(\frac{\pi\nu}{2}\right)}$			
Table 1. The relationship between the parameters of the low-frequency				
dispersion (LFD) and the Cole-Cole functions, and the parameters $A, B, C$				

from expressions (2) and (3).

This picture with resistance. demonstrates asymmetric behavior (which is characterized by the downward displacement of the lowfrequency branch), obtained for a model spectrum. Here the asymmetry always appears on the left side of V-shaped function. Dashed line shows the position of the low frequency branch in the case of the negligible influence of the second process (provided by the second recap element). Arrow shows the movement of the lowfrequency branch due to influence of the second process. Hence we have an 'dropping' asymmetry due to the falling down of the lowfrequency branch. This asymmetry therefore differentiates between a model defined by two recap elements combined in parallel from a model defined by a Cole-Cole function, which is characterized by mirror-like symmetry relatively the minimum frequency and a model defined by two recap elements in series, which is characterized by right-hand asymmetry.

# general features of the ratio presentation format

The RP format helps to differentiate, at least qualitatively, between various functions (including LFD, Cole-Cole and more complex functions) and their linear combinations.

The frequency minimum of the Cole-Cole type function in RP format always is shifted to the highfrequency region in comparison with frequency maximum of the imaginary part of this function in normal presentation.

In the RP format for all chosen fitting functions, one can obtain all fitting parameters *simultaneously* for both parts of complex permittivity, except  $\varepsilon_{\infty}$  and  $\varepsilon_s$ . For these limiting values only the reliable ratio  $\varepsilon_s / \varepsilon_{\infty}$  can be obtained.

#### conclusions

In this note we have attempted to outline the advantages of the presenting dielectric data in the *ratio presentation* (RP) format for Re[ $\varepsilon(j\omega)$ ]/Im[ $\varepsilon(j\omega)$ ]. In spite of the fact that the inverse value of the RP format coincides with tangent of the phase angle all peculiarities of new presentation are opened in double-log scale.

They are:

RP format gives us an evidence of possible equivalent circuit that can provide true fit of the real data.

Any fit in RP format is realized not only for the real or imaginary pats of complex susceptibility but simultaneously for the both parts that makes the fitting procedure more reliable and justified.

Alongside with conventional presentation of DS data in Cole-Cole and normal formats we suggest new presentation, which is more informative especially in the cases, where usual formats are noninformative and ambiguous.





Fig.4. RP format spectrum formed from two recap elements combined in series, and described by the function

$$\varepsilon (j\omega) = \varepsilon_{\infty} + \frac{\Delta \varepsilon}{1 + \frac{(j\omega\tau_1)^{\nu_1} (j\omega\tau_2)^{\nu_2}}{(j\omega\tau_1)^{\nu_1} + (j\omega\tau_2)^{\nu_2}}}$$

with parameters: $v_1=0.98$ ,  $\tau_1=0.005$ ,  $v_2=0.6$ ,  $\tau_2=0.01$ ,  $\epsilon_s=200$ ,  $\epsilon_{\infty}=1.5$ . Dashed line shows the position of the high frequency branch in the case of the negligible influence of the second process (provided by the second recap element).

References [1] A.K. Jonscher Dielectric Relaxation in Solids. Chelsea Dielectrics Press, London, 1983 [2] A. Le Mehaute, R.R. Nigmatullin, L. Nivanen. Fleches du Temps et Geometrie Fractale. Hermes, Paris, 1998. R. R. Nigmatullin, S.I.Osokin Department of Theoretical Physics, Kazan State University, 420008, Kazan, Tatarstan, Russia



$$\varepsilon(j\omega) = \varepsilon_{\infty} + \frac{\Delta \varepsilon}{1 + (j\omega\tau_1)^{\nu_1} + (j\omega\tau_2)^{\nu_2}}$$

with parameters: $v_1=0.98$ ,  $\tau_1=0.001$ ,  $v_2=0.6$ ,  $\tau_2=0.05$ ,  $\varepsilon_s=1000$ ,  $\varepsilon_{\infty}=2$ . Dashed line shows the position of the low frequency branch when the influence of the second process (provided by the second recap element) is negligibly small.

	00 µHz 00 µHz 00 µHz 00 mHz 11 Hz 10 Hz 00 KHz 00 KHz 00 MHz 00 MHz 00 MHz 00 MHz 00 GHz					
	BDS 80					
Factory and Head Office						
Germany:	NOVOCONTROL GmbHEditor Dielectrics NewsletterObererbacher Straße 9Gerhard SchaumburgD-56414 Hundsangen / GERMANYEditor Dielectrics Newsletter					
	Phone: ++(0) 64 35 - 96 23-0Fax: ++(0) 64 35 - 96 23-33Abstracts and papers are alwaysMailnovo@novocontrol.dewelcome. We can publish max.Webhttp://www.novocontrol.de2 pages A4 on each subject. Please send your script to the editor.					
	Agents					
Benelux countri NOVOCONTROL Postbus 231 NL-5500 AE Velo Phone ++(0) 4 Fax ++(0) 4 USA/Canada: NOVOCONTROL 611 November La Willow Springs, N Phone: ++(0) § Fax: ++(0) § Mail novoco Contact: Mr. Joa	es: Benelux B.V. boven / NETHERLANDS 10 - 2859209 America Inc. ane / Autumn Woods 10 f Carolina 27592-7738 / USA 10 f G39 7523 10 639 7523 10 7 10 7 1					
Japan: Morimura Bros. In 2 nd chemical div Morimura Bldg. 3 Minato-Ku Tokyo 105 / Japa Phone ++(0) ( Fax: ++(0) ( Mail hasega Contact: Mr. Has	Thailand:         ision       Techno Asset Co., Ltd         -1, Toranomon 1-chome       Saisan Thonburi Road         n       Bangwa, Khet Phasicharoen         3-3502-6440       Thailand         3-3502-6437       Phone         awa@morimura.co.jp       Fax         segawa       Parter there prove					
Taiwan (R. O. C.Advantage ScienP.O. Box 44-238TaipeiPhone ++(02)Fax: ++(02)Mail aie4neContact: Mr. Pace	Contact: Mr. Narong Phoka         People's Rep. Of China:         tific Inc.       GermanTech Co. Ltd         Room 706, No. 7 Building; Hua Qing Jia Yuan         Wu Dao Kou, Haidian District         2733-2422       Beijing, 100083, China         2737-5575       Mobile ++ 13501128834         t@ms8.hinet.net       Phone ++(10) 82867920/21/22         blo Cheng       Fax: ++(10) 8286719         Mail       xtli@germantech.com.cn         Contact:       Yinton Li. BhD					

#### OVERVIEW BROADBAND DIELECTRIC SPECTROSCOPY over 16 decades by NOVOCONTROL

## Information request

Compa	ny / Institute					
Name						
Street						
City						
Postal	Code					
Country						
Phone						
Fax						
Email						
I	Broadband Diele	ectric Spectrometers		New Instruments and Modules		
	Economical diele (3 μHz - 300 kHz	ectric spectrometers z or 3 μHz - 3 MHz)		Electrochemical test station (3 $\mu$ Hz - 1 MHz, Polarization 30 V, 2 A,		
	Top class dielec (3 μHz - 10 MHz	tric spectrometer )		ALPHA high resolution dielectric analyzer		
	GHz dielectric spectrometer (1 MHz – 1.8 / 3 / 8 GHz) Software		Ē	ALPHA high voltage extension (+-150 Vp ac and / or dc, 3 μHz - 1 MHz or +-500 Vp ac and / or dc, 3 μHz - 10 kHz)		
	MS-Windows software for automatic		TSDC instruments and WinTSC software			
	measurement of dielectric relaxations, impedance, electrical and magnetic material properties			Pressure dependent dielectric spectrometers (0 - 3000 bar)		
	MS-Windows so fitting data analy	ftware for automatic curve sis (WLF, VF) and		Spin coaters		
	equivalent circui	t transformation	Free I	Dielectrics Newsletters, Application Notes		
C	Optional temperature control systems		I would like receive the next dielectrics newsletter issues for free by			
	Quatro	-160°C - 500°C		email in PDF format,		
	Novocool	-100°C - 250°C 20°C - 400°C		mail as a printed version.		
	High temp. furnace 20°C - 1600°C		I am not interested in dielectrics newsletters. Please delete me from the mailing list			
	Status of	f my request				
<ul> <li>Urgent demand. Please send me a quotation.</li> <li>Future demand</li> <li>General interest</li> </ul>		Please visit our web page http://www.novocontrol.de for free downloads of all newsletters and application notes.				

### IMPORTANT

If you wish to continue receiving free Dielectrics Newsletters, we need feedback as we intend to replace the postal distribution by email in future. Please fill in your email address above in order to receive the next issues. You may opt for a printed version by mail, too. Please fill in your email address in this case, too. As an alternative, simply send us an email with your interests.

. . . . . . . . . .